Solving the terrain challenge: a smarter approach to tracker design for faster, leaner solar builds

Words: Dikran Ghorghorian, Vice President, Engineering, Polar Racking

As utility-scale solar projects face tighter timelines, shrinking margins and more challenging sites, terrain has emerged as a critical, but often underestimated, obstacle. In this feature, Polar Racking explores how smarter tracker design can turn rugged land from a project risk into a competitive advantage, cutting civil costs, accelerating builds and enabling solar where traditional systems fall short.

In today's utility-scale solar landscape, timelines are tighter, margins thinner and viable land harder to come by. Developers are being asked to do more with less: build on irregular plots, manage construction risk with leaner crews and still hit performance benchmarks and investor expectations.

And yet, one challenge consistently disrupts all three: terrain.

Topography has become one of the most quietly expensive and logistically complex issues in utility-scale solar development. And while tracker manufacturers have made big strides in materials, controls and reliability, terrain adaptability still lags behind.

This article isn't about touting a product. It's about how our industry can better respond to one of solar's most persistent cost and schedule risks. As land gets trickier, tracker design must adapt, because project success increasingly depends on what happens beneath the racking, not just above it.

The disconnect between tracker engineering and construction reality

Most single-axis trackers in use today still follow a conventional design: long, rigid torque tubes stretching 100+ meters, ideal for flat sites with uniform soil conditions.

But those sites are vanishing fast.

On real-world terrain like hilly farmland, irregular industrial land or repurposed fill, rigid systems introduce four recurring problems.

1. Grading costs explode. Flattening terrain to meet tracker tolerances can add six figures to the civil scope. More importantly, it triggers regulatory headaches: grading often reopens permit files, forces re-submission of stormwater and erosion control plans, and jeopardizes approvals tied to topsoil preservation or hydrology impact. In many jurisdictions, aggressive grading can delay permits by weeks or kill a project outright.
2. Soil is compromised. On agricultural or previously undisturbed land, grading strips topsoil disrupts native drainage, and introduces erosion and sedimentation risks, making the project environmentally contentious and politically sensitive.
3. Pile complexity increases. EPCs are forced to specify dozens of custom pile lengths to chase terrain, wasting time and driving up fabrication costs.
4. Installation slows. Tighter tolerances lead to re-drives, layout rework, and demand for skilled labor that's increasingly unavailable.

   These aren't just nuisances. They're margin killers, and in today's market, it's the difference between building and cancelling a job.

What makes a tracker truly terrain-following?

'Terrain-following' is one of the most overused and under-explained phrases in the tracker industry. Many designs claim flexibility, but what they actually offer are workarounds: universal joints, custom piles or brute-force grading.

A tracker is only terrain-following if it can do two things: maintain structural and tracking performance across variable elevation, or install efficiently, without excessive civil, pile or permitting burden.

That's the approach Polar Racking took when designing the Sol-X single-axis tracker.

Rather than engineer a product and expect the land to adapt, we engineered a tracker that adapts to the land. Sol-X departs from the long-tube tradition and instead uses modular tables, each one a structurally independent unit, linked by a flexible drive shaft to transmit rotation across the row.

How Sol-X solves the terrain problem

Modular tables instead of one long tube

Each row is broken into smaller segments, typically 6 to 24 modules per table. These tables are mounted on individual piles and connected via a drive shaft that allows rotational sync without requiring a rigid, continuous torque tube.

Result: The system adjusts locally to terrain, eliminating the need for excessive grading or contorted layouts.

Built-in vertical adjustability

Every post includes 350 mm (13.8") of vertical range as standard. Where additional adjustment is needed, longer piles provide up to 600 mm (23.6") of tolerance, accommodating steep slopes and uneven soil without cutting steel or shimming in the field.

Result: Crews can level tables to spec even when pile driving isn't perfect, keeping projects moving, not reengineering in the field.

Minimal layout sensitivity

With rigid systems, one misaligned pile can throw off an entire row. Sol-X only requires 2 to 3 critical posts per table to meet alignment within a generous ±25.4 mm (1 inch).

Result: Reduced layout stress, fewer redrives, and faster build times, especially with less experienced crews.

Pre-assembly that cuts labor and risk

Labor costs are rising and skilled trades are increasingly scarce. That's why Sol-X is engineered for high pre-assembly. Up to 60% of components are preassembled off-site, including T-assemblies, brackets and hardware, plus modular tables are shipped in standardized kits, minimizing on-site handling, sorting and bolt counts.

Result: Faster installation, shorter crew training time and fewer field errors. When every extra day onsite hits the critical path, and your bottom line, pre-assembly isn't a luxury. It's a requirement.

Additional design benefits that make projects work better

Reduced wind loads at flat stow

Sol-X is designed to stow flat (0°), a significant departure from torque tube systems that must angle to 55° or more to avoid wind-induced galloping.

Why it matters: Flatter stow reduces structural loads, enabling smaller piles and shallower embedment depths.

Ideal for landfills and geotechnically challenging sites

The reduced reaction loads from flat stow make Sol-X an ideal fit for capped landfills, brownfields and soft soils. Many conventional torque tube systems are disqualified from such sites due to excessive ground pressure or structural footprint.

Sol-X opens those sites back up, without compromising durability or code compliance.

Integrated wire management

The tracker includes built-in wire management trays and mounting clips for string and homerun wiring. This integrated approach eliminates ad-hoc solutions, simplifies inspections and reduces risk of long-term wear or failure.

Result: Fewer loose cables, neater installs and faster sign-off from regulatory bodies.

Fewer pile SKUs, less waste

With built-in adjustability and modularity, Sol-X minimizes pile length variations. Procurement is simplified, cut waste is reduced and install crews stay focused on execution, not improvisation.

Why this matters now

The US solar industry is in a new phase of development. Recent cuts in federal support, plus the shift toward tougher sites, require faster builds and leaner execution models.

Tracker systems must evolve accordingly.

The next wave of utility-scale projects won't be built on perfect land. They'll be built on slopes, fill, fractured soil and parcels with permitting challenges. If the tracker design can't adapt, without inflating the civil or foundation scope, it's the wrong fit for today's reality.

Final thoughts: design for constructability, not just efficiency

We spend a lot of time in this industry talking about levelized cost of energy (LCoE), mechanical efficiency, and marginal gains in production. But the biggest cost wins are often found in how we build, not just what we build.

Constructability is where good tracker design pays off. If a system installs faster, tolerates imperfect terrain, simplifies pile work and adapts to more site types, it's not just a tracker. It's a project enabler.

That's the philosophy behind Sol-X. It's not built for brochures. It's built for job sites.

And while it may not be the only solution out there, it's one we've proven across diverse projects, tough terrain and aggressive schedules.

As you plan your next development, ask the hard questions. Can your tracker system build without reshaping the site? Will your foundation plan hold up under soil testing and permitting scrutiny? Does the tracker give your EPC tolerances to work, or more ways to fail?

In this market, success isn't just about watts per acre; it's about whether you can build what you said you would, for the price you promised.

polarracking.com